

HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION

H-9, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN Phone: (051) 90408000, Fax: (051) 90408003

Dr. S. Mahmood Raza Adviser (QA & LI)

> No.1-1/WCat./QEC/HEC/354 Dated: February 24, 2011

Subject: Quantitative Assessment of the Quality Enhancement Cell

Dear Dr. Anees Ahmad Solida

A scorecard to enable quantitative assessment of the QECs at "WCategory"Private-sector universities has been developed in consultation with the Director QECs at the 1st quarterly meeting held on 4th October, 2010. Accordingly the 15 QECs have submitted their performance for first three quarters i.e. April 2010 to December 2010.

I am glad to inform you that the QEC established at your university has scored 80.5 out of a total of 100 on the basis of the desk monitoring through quarterly progress reports and SARs submitted by the QEC. This scoring brings your QEC among the top performers (80-100 score points). The detailed score card of your QEC is attached herewith for your review.

. The Quality Assurance Agency, HEC has observed that following shortcomings can easily be improved to bring your QEC among the top performers:

- 1. Filling of the vacant posts i.e. Deputy Director and Data Analyst.
- 2. At least two Seminars/workshopsper quarter.
- 3. Completion of Survey Proforma's for the model departments
- 4. Completion of Self-Assessment Report of each of the model departments
- 5. Improvement in Design and Content of QEC link on the website.
- 6. Acquiring the membership of any of the international bodies for QA like International Network for Quality Assurance Agencies in Higher Education (INQAAHE), The Asia-Pacific Quality Network (APQN), The Arab Network for Quality Assurance in Higher Education ANQAHE, Australian Universities Quality Agency (AUQA) etc.

HEC had decided that the universities developments grant/funding will now be dependent with compliance to quality standards. Therefore, it is hoped that through your personal interest the performance of the QEC can substantially be improved.

With kind regards,

Your sincerely

S. Mahmood Raza)

Professor Dr. Anees Ahmad, Vice-Chancellor, Riphah International University, Islamabad.

CC:

- 1. MD, QAA
- 2. ES to Executive Director

E-mail: smraza@hec.gov.pk Website: http://www.hec.gov.pk

Score Card for Quality Enhancement Cell Riphah International University, Islamabad REPORTING PERIOD: April 2010 to December 2010

National Control of the Control of t			
A. QEC SECRETARIAT	ESTABLISHMENT (32 Score Points)		
Establishment date /notification (HEC directive in April, 2010)	April-June 2010: 6		
	Tule Con 2040 4	6	
	Oct - Dec 2010: 2		
	None : 0		
QEC office	Permanent: 6	6	
	Temporary: 2		
	None: 0		
Director QEC	Regular/Contractual: 5	5	
	Additional Charge: 2		
	None: 0		
Deputy Director QEC	Regular/Contractual: 5	0	
	Additional Charge: 2		
	None: 0		
Data Analyst OEC / A	Regular/Contractual: 5	0	
Data Analyst QEC / Asst. Director	Additional Charge: 2		
	None: 0		
	Procured: 5	5	
Procurement of Equipment	Partly Procured: 2		
	Not procured: 0		
	SubTotal 32	22	
B. IMPLEMENTATION	STATUS OF QA MECHANISM (48 Score	Points)	
Awareness seminars	Target: 2 per Quarter.		
/conference workshops at			
	Max: 6	5 1	
university on SA/QA)	Max: 6 1 for each	5	
university on SA/QA)	1 for each	5	
No. of Quarterly Progress		The state of	
university on SA/QA)	1 for each Target: 1 QPR per quarter	3	
No. of Quarterly Progress Reports	1 for each Target: 1 QPR per quarter Max 3 marks 1 for each QPR	The state of	
No. of Quarterly Progress Reports Selection of Model	1 for each Target: 1 QPR per quarter Max 3 marks	3	
No. of Quarterly Progress Reports	1 for each Target: 1 QPR per quarter Max 3 marks 1 for each QPR Target: 3 Model dep. to be selected. Max: 3	The second	
No. of Quarterly Progress Reports Selection of Model	1 for each Target: 1 QPR per quarter Max 3 marks 1 for each QPR Target: 3 Model dep. to be selected. Max: 3 1 per department	3	
No. of Quarterly Progress Reports Selection of Model	1 for each Target: 1 QPR per quarter Max 3 marks 1 for each QPR Target: 3 Model dep. to be selected. Max: 3	3	
No. of Quarterly Progress Reports Selection of Model Departments	1 for each Target: 1 QPR per quarter Max 3 marks 1 for each QPR Target: 3 Model dep. to be selected. Max: 3 1 per department Target: 1 PT for each dept	3	
No. of Quarterly Progress Reports Selection of Model Departments	1 for each Target: 1 QPR per quarter Max 3 marks 1 for each QPR Target: 3 Model dep. to be selected. Max: 3 1 per department Target: 1 PT for each dept Max: 3 1 per PT Target: 10 performa each dept. Each Performa	3	
No. of Quarterly Progress Reports Selection of Model Departments Program Teams formation	1 for each Target: 1 QPR per quarter Max 3 marks 1 for each QPR Target: 3 Model dep. to be selected. Max: 3 1 per department Target: 1 PT for each dept Max: 3 1 per PT Target: 10 performa each dept. Each Performa carries .5 weightage	3	
No. of Quarterly Progress Reports Selection of Model Departments Program Teams formation	1 for each Target: 1 QPR per quarter Max 3 marks 1 for each QPR Target: 3 Model dep. to be selected. Max: 3 1 per department Target: 1 PT for each dept Max: 3 1 per PT Target: 10 performa each dept. Each Performa	3	

	Target: 3 Complete SAR (93 Standards, 24 criteria) Each standard carries 0.161weigtage		
No of SARs Completed	3SAR(93 Standards,24 criteria) 15	14.5	
	2SAR(62 Standards,16 criteria) 10		
ATs Formation	1SAR (31 Standards, 8 criteria) 05		
	Target:1 AT for each dept	North Property	
	Max: 3	3	
	1 per AT		
	Sub Total 48	43.5	
C. EMPOWERING THE	QEC (20 Score Points)		
District of the last of the la	Availed all: 5		
Nonvoting Membership of Statutory bodies	Partly availed: 2	5	
HEC event attended(Not availed: 0	6~	
	Target: Total 3 Events		
	All 3 Events: 6		
workshops, QEC	2 Events: 4		
meetings)	1 Event: 2		
QEC Website / webpage	None: 0		
	Target: Functional with current content & elegant design	A STORE	
	Functional:5(Design2&Content3)	4/	
Membership of	Non Functional: 0		
	Availed: 4		
international bodies	Not availed: 0	0	
	Subtotal 20	15	
april vi dive vije i dive v	工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工	一 "倒是"	

RATING SUMMARY

Rating	Scores	No. of Universities
W	80- 100	4
A STATE OF THE STA	60-79	3
Y	40-59	8
Z	UPTO 40	0

Note:

This scorecard is published on the basis of the desk monitoring through quarterly progress reports and SARs submitted by all QECs. However, the physical monitoring will be performed soon.